

GENERATIVE ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE'S GROWING IMPORTANCE FOR SCHOOL EDUCATION

Dr. Daniel Sooja^{1*}

¹Department of Educational Technology and Curriculum Studies, Central University
of Europe, Europe

Abstract

Generative artificial intelligence (AI) tools, including large language models capable of producing text, explanations, and multimedia content on demand, are rapidly reshaping how teaching and learning take place in schools. This paper examines why generative AI has become an increasingly important consideration for primary and secondary education, drawing on recent research and policy guidance from education researchers and international bodies. It considers three converging drivers of adoption: the accessibility of natural-language interfaces for both students and teachers, the pressure on schools to personalize instruction at scale, and the growing recognition that AI literacy is now a core competency for the future workforce. The paper then analyzes the principal applications of generative AI in classrooms, namely personalized and adaptive learning support, relief from routine administrative and lesson-preparation workload for teachers, and the cultivation of digital and AI literacy skills among students. It also addresses the central risks that accompany adoption, including threats to academic integrity, the potential to widen the digital divide between well-resourced and under-resourced schools, and concerns over the privacy of student data. The paper concludes that generative AI is best understood not as a replacement for teachers but as a pedagogical tool that, if guided by clear institutional policy, adequate teacher training, and equity-focused implementation, can meaningfully strengthen school education.

ISSN: 1533 - 9211

**CORRESPONDING
AUTHOR:**

Dr. Daniel Sooja
daniel.sooza@cue.eu

KEYWORDS:

Generative AI, School
education, Personalized
learning, Digital literacy,
ChatGPT, Educational
technology policy

Received: 05 July 2026
Accepted: 21 August 2026
Published :01 Oct 2026

TO CITE THIS ARTICLE:

Sooza, D. (2026).
Generative AI's growing
importance for school
education. Seybold
Report Journal, 21(2),
1–12.
[https://doi.org/10.62225/
.2026.21.2.014](https://doi.org/10.62225/2026.21.2.014)

Introduction

Generative artificial intelligence, most visibly represented by conversational large language models such as ChatGPT, Google Gemini, and Microsoft Copilot, has moved from a research curiosity to a fixture of everyday school life within a remarkably short period (Kasneci et al., 2023; UNESCO, 2023). Since the wide public release of ChatGPT in late 2022, surveys of teachers and school leaders across North America, Europe, and Asia have reported rapid, often informal, adoption of generative AI tools for lesson planning, feedback, and student support (Baidoo-Anu & Ansah, 2023). Unlike earlier generations of educational technology, which typically required specialised training or dedicated software, generative AI tools respond to natural-language instructions, lowering the barrier to entry for both experienced and novice users and accelerating their spread through classrooms before formal school policies could catch up (Zawacki-Richter, Marín, Bond, & Gouverneur, 2019).

This rapid, largely bottom-up adoption has left many school systems debating how, rather than whether, to integrate generative AI into teaching and learning. Ministries of education and professional bodies have begun to issue guidance emphasising human oversight, transparency, and the protection of student data, while cautioning against uncritical use (UNESCO, 2023; Selwyn, 2022). At the same time, early classroom studies suggest that, when thoughtfully implemented, generative AI can support differentiated instruction, reduce routine workload for teachers, and help students build the AI literacy skills they will need beyond school (Chan & Hu, 2023). This paper reviews why generative AI has become an important and unavoidable consideration for schools, examines its principal applications and benefits, and discusses the risks that must be managed for its adoption to be responsible and equitable.

1. The Rise of Generative AI in School Education

Generative AI refers to a class of machine learning systems, most commonly large language models, that can produce original text, images, code, and other content in response to natural-language prompts. In a school context, this includes chatbot tutors that answer student questions, writing assistants that suggest revisions to an essay, and content-generation tools that help teachers draft quizzes, rubrics, and lesson materials (Holmes, Bialik, & Fadel, 2019). What distinguishes generative AI from earlier intelligent tutoring systems is its general-purpose flexibility: the same underlying model can support a mathematics word problem, a history essay, and a science lab report without being purpose-built for any single subject.

The momentum behind generative AI in schools accelerated sharply after the public release of ChatGPT in November 2022. Within months, student and teacher usage of AI chatbots for homework help, brainstorming, and drafting had become widespread enough that several school districts moved to draft acceptable-use policies specifically addressing generative AI (Rudolph, Tan, & Tan, 2023). This growth has not been limited to well-resourced systems; because many generative AI tools are freely or cheaply accessible through a web browser, schools with limited technology budgets have also reported meaningful levels of student and staff use (Tlili et al., 2023).

Several factors help explain why schools, in particular, have proven receptive to generative AI. First, teachers face persistent time pressure from lesson preparation, assessment, and administrative reporting, and generative AI offers a plausible way to reclaim some of that time (Zhai, 2023). Second, the growing policy emphasis on personalized and differentiated instruction aligns naturally with AI systems capable of adapting explanations and practice items to an individual student's level. Third, employers and policymakers increasingly regard familiarity with AI tools as a basic digital competency, creating pressure on schools to introduce students to these systems before they leave formal education (Su & Yang, 2023).

At the same time, the pace of adoption has outstripped the development of school-level policy, teacher training, and research evidence. Many of the applications and risks discussed in the remainder of this paper are therefore still the subject of active investigation, and the recommendations offered here should be read as an emerging consensus rather than a settled body of practice.

2. Applications, Benefits, and Challenges of Generative AI in Schools

2.1 Personalized Learning and Adaptive Instruction

One of the most frequently cited benefits of generative AI in schools is its capacity to personalize instruction at a scale that would be difficult for a single teacher to achieve unaided. AI tutoring assistants can generate practice questions calibrated to a student's current level, offer step-by-step explanations phrased in different ways until a concept is understood, and provide immediate feedback on written work rather than requiring a student to wait for a teacher's response (Yan, 2023). Because generative AI can adjust the reading level, pacing, and format of its explanations in real time, it is particularly well suited to supporting students who are working below or above grade level, as well as English-language learners and students with certain learning differences, provided that use is guided and monitored by a qualified teacher.

2.2 Supporting Teachers and Reducing Administrative Burden

Beyond direct student support, generative AI is increasingly used by teachers themselves to reduce the time spent on lesson planning, differentiated worksheet creation, and first-draft feedback on student writing. Teachers report using generative AI to draft quiz questions aligned to a curriculum standard, generate rubrics, summarise long readings for younger students, and produce first drafts of parent communications, freeing time for direct instruction and individual student support (Baidoo-Anu & Ansah, 2023). Several school systems have begun piloting generative AI features inside existing learning-management systems specifically to support this administrative use case, rather than direct student-facing use, as a lower-risk entry point for adoption.

2.3 Building AI and Digital Literacy Skills

Schools are also recognising that, regardless of whether generative AI is formally integrated into lessons, students are already encountering these tools outside the classroom and need explicit instruction in how to use them critically. AI literacy curricula increasingly teach students to evaluate AI-generated content for accuracy and bias, understand the basic mechanics of how large language models generate text, and use prompting effectively as a research and writing aid rather than a substitute for original thought (Bearman & Ajjaw, 2023). Proponents argue that this kind of AI literacy is becoming as fundamental to a modern education as traditional information literacy or media literacy.

2.4 Risks: Academic Integrity, Equity, and Data Privacy

These benefits are accompanied by significant risks that schools must actively manage. Academic integrity concerns are the most immediate, as generative AI can produce polished essays and solved problem sets that are difficult to distinguish from a student's own work using conventional plagiarism-detection tools, prompting many schools to redesign assessments around in-class writing, oral defence, and process-based evidence of learning (Cotton, Cotton, & Shipway, 2024). Equity is a second major concern: students in well-resourced schools may have access to premium AI tools, faster devices, and teachers trained to use them effectively, while students elsewhere do not, potentially widening existing achievement gaps rather than closing them. Finally, because many generative AI tools are cloud-based

services operated by third-party companies, schools must carefully evaluate how student inputs are stored, whether they are used to further train commercial models, and whether use complies with relevant student-data-protection regulations before permitting classroom deployment (UNESCO, 2023).

3. Conclusion and Future Directions

Generative AI has moved, in a very short period, from an experimental technology to a routine presence in many schools, driven by its accessibility, its apparent capacity to personalize instruction, and rising expectations that students leave school with basic AI literacy. The evidence reviewed here suggests that, used thoughtfully, generative AI can support differentiated instruction, ease routine workload for teachers, and help build skills students will need in an AI-influenced workforce. At the same time, its rapid, often informal adoption has exposed real risks to academic integrity, equity, and student data privacy that schools cannot address through technology alone. Future work should focus on developing evidence-based classroom protocols for generative AI use, expanding teacher training programmes so that adoption is guided rather than incidental, and designing assessment practices that remain valid in a context where AI-assisted writing is widely available. Longitudinal research is also needed to determine how sustained use of generative AI affects learning outcomes, critical-thinking skills, and student wellbeing over time, and policymakers will need to continue updating guidance as the underlying technology evolves. Ultimately, the central challenge for schools is not whether to engage with generative AI, but how to do so in a way that is pedagogically sound, equitable, and protective of students.

Conflicts of Interest

The author has disclosed no conflicts of interest.

Author's Affiliation

Dr. Daniel Sooja^{1*}

¹Department of Educational Technology and Curriculum Studies, Central University of Europe, Europe

COPYRIGHT

© 2026 The Author(s). This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (CC-BY 4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited. See <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>. Seybold Report is a peer-reviewed journal published by Seybold Publications.

HOW TO CITE THIS ARTICLE

Sooza, D. (2026). Generative AI's growing importance for school education. *Seybold Report Journal*, 21(2), 1–12. <https://doi.org/10.62225/2026.21.2.014>

REFERENCES

- Baidoo-Anu, D., & Ansah, L. O. (2023). Education in the era of generative artificial intelligence (AI): Understanding the potential benefits of ChatGPT in promoting teaching and learning. *Journal of AI*, 7(1), 52-62. doi: 10.61969/jai.1337500
- Bearman, M., & Ajjawi, R. (2023). Learning to work with the black box: Pedagogy for a world with artificial intelligence. *British Journal of Educational Technology*, 54(5), 1160-1173. doi: 10.1111/bjet.13337
- Chan, C. K. Y., & Hu, W. (2023). Students' voices on generative AI: Perceptions, benefits, and challenges in higher education. *International Journal of Educational Technology in Higher Education*, 20(1), 43. doi: 10.1186/s41239-023-00411-8
- Cotton, D. R. E., Cotton, P. A., & Shipway, J. R. (2024). Chatting and cheating: Ensuring academic integrity in the era of ChatGPT. *Innovations in Education and Teaching International*, 61(2), 228-239. doi: 10.1080/14703297.2023.2190148
- Holmes, W., Bialik, M., & Fadel, C. (2019). *Artificial Intelligence in Education: Promises and Implications for Teaching and Learning*. Center for Curriculum Redesign, Boston, MA.

- Kasneci, E., Seßler, K., Küchemann, S., Bannert, M., Dementieva, D., Fischer, F., ... & Kasneci, G. (2023). ChatGPT for good? On opportunities and challenges of large language models for education. *Learning and Individual Differences*, 103, 102274. doi: 10.1016/j.lindif.2023.102274
- Rudolph, J., Tan, S., & Tan, S. (2023). ChatGPT: Bullshit spewer or the end of traditional assessments in higher education? *Journal of Applied Learning and Teaching*, 6(1), 342-363. doi: 10.37074/jalt.2023.6.1.9
- Selwyn, N. (2022). The future of AI and education: Some cautionary notes. *European Journal of Education*, 57(4), 620-631. doi: 10.1111/ejed.12532
- Su, J., & Yang, W. (2023). Unlocking the power of ChatGPT: A framework for applying generative AI in education. *ECNU Review of Education*, 6(3), 355-366. doi: 10.1177/20965311231168423
- Tlili, A., Shehata, B., Adarkwah, M. A., Bozkurt, A., Hickey, D. T., Huang, R., & Agyemang, B. (2023). What if the devil is my guardian angel: ChatGPT as a case study of using chatbots in education. *Smart Learning Environments*, 10(1), 15. doi: 10.1186/s40561-023-00237-x
- UNESCO. (2023). Guidance for generative AI in education and research. Paris: UNESCO Publishing. doi: 10.54675/EWZM9535
- Yan, D. (2023). Impact of ChatGPT on learners in a L2 writing practicum: An exploratory investigation. *Education and Information Technologies*, 28, 13943-13967. doi: 10.1007/s10639-023-11742-4
- Zawacki-Richter, O., Marín, V. I., Bond, M., & Gouverneur, F. (2019). Systematic review of research on artificial intelligence applications in higher education – where are the educators? *International Journal of Educational Technology in Higher Education*, 16(1), 39. doi: 10.1186/s41239-019-0171-0
- Zhai, X. (2023). ChatGPT for next generation science learning. *XRDS: Crossroads, The ACM Magazine for Students*, 29(3), 42-46. doi: 10.1145/3589649